

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,016	016 09/22/2003.		Tetsunori Koda	117223	5696	
25944	7590	06/22/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928					MAN, HOLLY C	
ALEXAND		22320		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1773		
				DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/665,016	KODA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Holly Rickman	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merit	ts is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 September 2003</u> is/a	☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been	Application No	,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/5/03,9/22/03</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/665,016

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hikosaka et al. (US 2004/0001975).

Hikosaka et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium for use with a magnetic recording head including a substrate, a soft magnetic layer, an underlayer and a perpendicular recording layer. The reference teaches that the underlayer is selected from a group of alloys which includes CoCrRu. The reference teaches that the perpendicular magnetic layer is selected from a group which includes CoPtCrO and CoPtCr-SiO2 (see paragraphs 11, 23, 26, 30, 32, and 35).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to choose either CoPtCrO or CoPtCr-SiO2 from the group of disclosed magnetic layer alloys because each of the disclosed materials would be expected to function equivalently.

With respect to the limitation requiring that the perpendicular residual magnetization of the magnetic layer is greater than the in-plane residual magnetization of that layer, it is the Examiner's contention that the magnetic layer taught by Hikosaka et al. has a perpendicular orientation and therefore, necessary satisfies this limitation.

Art Unit: 1773

With respect to claim 8, Hikosaka et al. is silent with respect to the limitation requiring that the underlayer contains 1-65 at % of Co. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the amount of Co in the CoCrRu layer taught therein in order to obtain the desired level of magnetization.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lu et al. (US 2004/0072027) is cited as art of interest.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul J. Thibodeau can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Holly Rickman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1773

hr

June 17, 2004